UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

BEFORE: HONORABLE THOMAS J. AQUILINO, JR., JUDGE

GEORGETOWN STEEL COMPANY, LLC, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES, Defendant, and SAARSTAHL AG, *et al.*, Defendant-Intervenors.	Court No. 02-00739

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion submitted by Saarstahl AG ("Saarstahl") for oral argument on plaintiffs' motion for judgment upon the agency record, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that Sarstahl's motion is hereby granted, and it is further

ORDERED, that oral argument on plaintiffs' motion for judgment upon the agency record shall be held on October __, 2003 at _____________ in Courtroom No. _____.

Dated: _____________________, 2003
New York, New York

____
Thomas J. Aquilino, Jr., Judge

UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

BEFORE: HONORABLE THOMAS J. AQUILINO, JR., JUDGE

ORIGINAL

GEORGETOWN STEEL COMPANY, LLC, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. UNITED STATES, Defendant, and SAARSTAHL AG, *et al.*, Defendant-Intervenors.	)	Court No. 02-00739

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON THE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT UPON THE AGENCY RECORD

Pursuant to Rules 7(c) and 56.2(e) of the Rules of this Court, Saarstahl AG ("Saarstahl") respectfully requests that the Court direct oral argument on plaintiffs' motion for judgment upon the agency record. Given the complex nature of the issues raised in this case, oral argument would serve the Court in reaching its decision.

Pursuant to Rules 7(b) and 56.2(e) of the Rules of this Court, counsel has consulted with all other parties to this action in an attempt to reach agreement on the issues involved in this motion. Counsel for the United States, Andrea Casson, Esq., has stated that the United States does not oppose the present motion. Counsel for plaintiffs, Kathleen Cannon, Esq. of Collier Shannon

Scott, PLLC, and counsel for defendant-intervenors, Gunter von Conrad, Esq. of Barnes, Richardson & Colburn, have consented to this motion. After consultation, the parties to this action require additional time to reach agreement on a date for the oral argument but expect to be able to suggest a mutually agreeable date to the Court shortly.

WHEREFORE, Saarstahl AG respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion and direct oral argument on plaintiffs' motion for judgment upon the agency record in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

DEKIEFFER & HORGAN

Marc E. Montalbine
Merritt R. Blakeslee
Suite 800
729 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 783-6900

September 15, 2003

Counsel for Saarstahl AG

UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Georgetown Steel Company, et al. v. United States
Court No. 02-00739

I, Tanya M. Strivers, of the law offices of deKieffer & Horgan, hereby certify that on September 15, 2003, a copy of the attached submission was served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid:

On Behalf of Georgetown Steel Co., LLC, et al.

Paul C. Rosenthal, Esq.
Collier Shannon Scott PLLC
3050 K Street, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20007

On Behalf of U.S. International Trade Commission:

Lyn M. Schlitt
Office of General Counsel
U.S. International Trade Commission
500 E Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20436

On Behalf of Ispat Hamburger Stahlwerke GmbH and Ispat Walzdraht:

Matthew T. McGrath, Esq.
Barnes, Richardson & Colburn
1225 Eye Street, NW
Suite 1150
Washington, DC 20005

Tanya M. Strivers (signature)
Tanya Strivers

RECEIVED & FILED
2003 SEP 17 P 12: 09

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISION OF RULE 5(e). THIS PAPER IS DEEMED FILED AS OF THE DATE OF MAILING-TO WIT 9-15-03

Copy: TJA
9-17-03